



Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Projects

Draft Statement of Common Ground:
Broadland District Council

Revision A

February 2023

Document Reference: 12.7

Title:	
Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Projects Draft Statement of Common Ground: Broadland District Council	
PINS Document no.: 12.7	
Revision: A	
Document no.: C282-RH-Z-GA-00209	
Date:	Classification
February 2023	Draft
Prepared by:	
Royal HaskoningDHV	
Approved by:	Date:
Sheery Atkins, Equinor	February 2023

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	7
1.1	Background	7
1.2	Consultation with BDC	8
1.3	Summary of ‘Agreed’, ‘Not Agreed’ and ‘In Discussion’ Matters	8
2	Statement of Common Ground	8
2.1	Project-wide considerations	9
2.2	Ground conditions and contamination.....	10
2.3	Onshore ecology and ornithology	13
2.4	Onshore archaeology and cultural heritage	19
2.5	Air quality.....	21
2.6	Noise and vibration.....	24
2.7	Landscape, trees and visual	27
3	Signatures.....	37
	References	38

Table of Tables

Table 1	Topics included in the draft SoCG	7
Table 2	Position status key.....	8
Table 3	Project-wide considerations.....	9
Table 4	Summary of consultation with BDC regarding ground conditions and contamination	10
Table 5	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to ground conditions and contamination	11
Table 6	Summary of consultation with BDC regarding onshore ecology and ornithology	13
Table 7	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to onshore ecology and ornithology	15
Table 8:	Summary of consultation with BDC regarding onshore archaeology and cultural heritage	19
Table 9:	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to onshore archaeology and cultural heritage	20
Table 10	Summary of Consultation with BDC Regarding air quality	21
Table 11	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to air quality	22
Table 12	Summary of consultation with BDC regarding noise and vibration	24
Table 13	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to noise and vibration	25
Table 14	Summary of consultation with BDC regarding landscape and visual.....	27
Table 15	Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to landscape and visual impact	28

Glossary of Acronyms

BDC	Broadland District Council
CIA	Cumulative Impact Assessment
DCO	Development Consent Order
DEL	Dudgeon Extension Limited
DEP	Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project
EC	European Commission
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPP	Evidence Plan Process
ES	Environmental Statement
ETG	Expert Topic Group
EU	European Union
GIS	Geographical Information System
km	Kilometre
LPA	Local Planning Authority
MW	Megawatts
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPS	National Policy Statement
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PPG	Planning Practice Guidance
SEP	Sheringham Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project
UK	United Kingdom

Glossary of Terms

Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (DEP)	The Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension onshore and offshore sites including all onshore and offshore infrastructure.
DEP onshore site	The Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension onshore area consisting of the DEP onshore substation site, onshore cable corridor, construction compounds, temporary working areas and onshore landfall area.
DEP wind farm site	The offshore area of DEP within which wind turbines, infield cables and offshore substation platform/s will be located and the adjacent Offshore Temporary Works Area. This is also the collective term for the DEP North and South array areas.
European site	Sites designated for nature conservation under the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive. This includes candidate Special Areas of Conservation, Sites of Community Importance, Special Areas of Conservation, potential Special Protection Areas, Special Protection Areas, Ramsar sites, proposed Ramsar sites and sites compensating for damage to a European site and is defined in regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, although some of the sites listed here are afforded equivalent policy protection under the National Planning Policy Framework (2021) (paragraph 176) and joint Defra/Welsh Government/Natural England/NRW Guidance (February 2021).
Evidence Plan Process (EPP)	A voluntary consultation process with specialist stakeholders to agree the approach, and information to support, the EIA and HRA for certain topics.
Expert Topic Group (ETG)	A forum for targeted engagement with regulators and interested stakeholders through the EPP.
Horizontal directional drilling (HDD) zones	The areas within the onshore cable route which would house HDD entry or exit points.
Jointing bays	Underground structures constructed at regular intervals along the onshore cable route to join sections of cable and facilitate installation of the cables into the buried ducts.
Landfall	The point at the coastline at which the offshore export cables are brought onshore, connecting to the onshore cables at the transition joint bay above mean high water
Onshore cable corridor	The area between the landfall and the onshore substation sites, within which the onshore cable

	circuits will be installed along with other temporary works for construction.
Onshore export cables	The cables which would bring electricity from the landfall to the onshore substation. 220 – 230kV.
Onshore Substation	Compound containing electrical equipment to enable connection to the National Grid.
Order Limits	The area subject to the application for development consent, including all permanent and temporary works for SEP and DEP.
PEIR boundary	The area subject to survey and preliminary impact assessment to inform the PEIR.
Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (SEP)	The Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extension onshore and offshore sites including all onshore and offshore infrastructure.
SEP onshore site	The Sheringham Shoal Wind Farm Extension onshore area consisting of the SEP onshore substation site, onshore cable corridor, construction compounds, temporary working areas and onshore landfall area.
SEP wind farm site	The offshore area of SEP within which wind turbines, infield cables and offshore substation platform/s will be located and the adjacent Offshore Temporary Works Area.
Study area	Area where potential impacts from the project could occur, as defined for each individual Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) topic.
The Applicant	Equinor New Energy Limited. As the owners of SEP and DEP, Scira Extension Limited and Dudgeon Extension Limited are the named undertakers that have the benefit of the DCO. References in this document to obligations on, or commitments by, 'the Applicant' are given on behalf of SEL and DEL as the undertakers of SEP and DEP.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

1. This draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Equinor New Energy Limited (the Applicant) and Broadland District Council (BDC). It identifies areas of the Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (SEP) and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (DEP) Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the Application) where matters are agreed or not agreed between the parties.
2. The Applicant has had regard to the Planning Act 2008: Guidance for the examination of applications for development consent (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2015) when compiling this draft SoCG.
3. This draft SoCG has been structured to reflect topics of the Application which are of interest to BDC. The applicable matters considered within this draft SoCG apply to BDC's statutory remit.
4. In addition to the project-wide considerations, **Table 1** presents the topics included in the draft SoCG.

Table 1 Topics included in the draft SoCG

Topic/Chapter	DCO Document Reference	Evidence Plan Process (EPP) (Yes/No)
Ground Conditions and Contamination	APP-103	No
Onshore Ecology and Ornithology	APP-106	Yes
Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	APP-107	Yes
Air Quality	APP-108	No
Noise and Vibration	APP-109	Yes
Landscape, Trees and Visual Impact	APP-112	Yes

5. Further detail of those topics included in the Evidence Plan Process (EPP) can be found in the **Consultation Report Appendices** [APP-030]. Details of the consultation undertaken on those topics not included in the EPP are set out in the corresponding chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES).
6. Topic specific matters agreed, not agreed and matters that remain under discussion between the Applicant and BDC are included within this draft SoCG. Matters that are not yet agreed will be the subject of ongoing discussion between the Applicant and BDC to reach agreement on each matter wherever possible or refine the extent of disagreement between parties.
7. Throughout the draft SoCG the phrase "Agreed" identifies any point of agreement between the Applicant and BDC. The phrase "Not Agreed" identifies any point that is not agreed between the Applicant and BDC.

1.2 Consultation with BDC

8. The Applicant has engaged with BDC on the project during the pre-Application process, both in terms of informal non-statutory engagement and formal consultation carried out pursuant to Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008.
9. During formal (Section 42) consultation, BDC provided comments on the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) by way of a letter dated 10th June 2021.
10. Further to the statutory Section 42 consultation, several meetings were held with BDC through the EPP. These are detailed throughout the SoCG and provided in **Consultation Report Appendix 1** [APP-030].

1.3 Summary of ‘Agreed’, ‘Not Agreed’ and ‘In Discussion’ Matters

11. In order to easily identify whether a matter is ‘agreed’, ‘not agreed’, or ‘in discussion’ the position status colour coding system set out in **Table 2** is used in the SoCG.
12. Details on specific topics that are ‘agreed’, ‘not agreed’ or ‘in discussion’ between the Applicant and BDC are presented in **Table 5, Table 7, Table 9, Table 11, Table 13, Table 15.**

Table 2 Position status key

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties	Agreed
Not Agreed – no material impact The Matter is not agreed between the parties however the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or BDC is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions and the matter is considered to be closed for the purposes of this SoCG. Discussions on these matters have concluded.	Not Agreed – no material impact
Not Agreed – material impact The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or BDC is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions on these matters have concluded.	Not Agreed – material impact
In discussion The matter is neither ‘agreed’ nor ‘not agreed’ and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties (e.g. where documents are yet to be shared with BDC)	In discussion

2 Statement of Common Ground

13. A summary of the consultation undertaken to date with BDC and the matters agreed or not agreed (based on discussions and information exchanged between the Applicant and BDC during the pre-application phase of the Application) are set out below for each of the draft SoCG topic areas.

2.1 Project-wide considerations

14. **Table 3** provides areas of agreement and disagreement for project-wide considerations.

Table 3 Project-wide considerations

1	The Applicants position	BDC Position	Position Summary
Electricity Supply			
1	There is a need to provide new forms of renewable energy generation and this is emphasised in UK Government policy including the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). The principle of the development of SEP and DEP is supported, as it accords with national renewable energy policy, targets and objectives.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23	Agreed
Good Design			
2	The Applicant demonstrates in the DCO application how the project has been guided by a clear Project Vision [APP-313], overarching design principles /objectives and will deliver a project that reflects Good Design, in accordance with good practice (including safety).	BDC appreciates that the infrastructure incorporated as part of the temporary compounds and associated infrastructure supports the development of the SEP and DEP projects and fulfil the requirements of the development. It is appreciated that any sensitive siting and mitigation measures are considered.	Agreed

2.2 Ground conditions and contamination

Table 4 Summary of consultation with BDC regarding ground conditions and contamination

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application		
10/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	Broadland District Council did not respond to section 42 consultation on the topic of Ground Conditions and Contamination. Appendix 4 of the Consultation Report [APP-033].
Post-Application		
11/01/2023	Meeting	The focus of the meeting was to discuss the Broadland District Council's relevant representation and develop the draft Statement of Common Ground.

Table 5 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to ground conditions and contamination

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy and Planning			
1	All relevant plans and policies have been identified in ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] and these have been appropriately considered in the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement.- 09/01/23	Agreed
EIA – Baseline Environment			
2	The ES adequately characterises the baseline environment in terms of ground conditions and contamination as detailed in Section 17.5 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103].	BDC agree with this statement.- 09/01/23	Agreed
3	Sufficient desk-based data / study has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103].	BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23	Agreed
EIA – Assessment Methodology			
4	<p>The study areas identified in Section 17.3 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] is appropriate for the assessment.</p> <p>The study area is shown in Figure 17.1.1 of the Preliminary Desk Based Assessment [APP-206].</p>	BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23	Agreed
5	The impact assessment methodologies, as presented in Section 17.4 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103], provide an appropriate approach to assessing the potential impacts of the project.	BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23	Agreed
6	The assessment of impacts presented in Section 17.6 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] are consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23	Agreed
7	Section 17.6 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] represents a comprehensive list of potential impacts.	BDC agree with this statement subject to intrusive investigation to be carried out post-consent. – 09/01/23	Agreed
8	The realistic worst-case assumptions presented in the assessment for the development scenarios, as outlined in Table 17.2 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] are appropriate.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
9	The assessment of cumulative impacts, as detailed in Section 17.7 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] is consistent with the agreed methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed
EIA – Project-Alone Assessment Conclusions			
10	The conclusions of the impact assessment as detailed in Section 17.6 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] are appropriate and are considered not significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed
EIA – Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) Conclusions			
11	The conclusions of the CIA as detailed in Section 17.7 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] are appropriate and are considered not significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
12	As detailed in Section 17.6 of ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103], targeted ground investigations, waste water collection, pre-construction site characterization works at medium and high sensitivity receptors are considered to be appropriate to avoid impacts.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed
13	The Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-302] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 17 Ground Conditions and Contamination [APP-103] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from the Projects on Ground Conditions and Contamination receptors.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23	Agreed
14	Schedule 2, Part 1, Requirement 19 of the draft DCO [AS-009] is appropriate with regards to Ground Conditions and Contamination.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23.	Agreed
Other Matters as Required			
15			

2.3 Onshore ecology and ornithology

Table 6 Summary of consultation with BDC regarding onshore ecology and ornithology

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application¹		
28/01/2020	ETG Meeting 1	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The scope of ecological survey work. • The approach to the Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey. • The approach to wintering bird survey and selected target species.
10/12/2020	ETG Meeting 2	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approach and methodology to over-wintering bird surveys and the use of available over-wintering bird survey data from other projects. • The approach and methodology to breeding bird surveys. • The approach and methodology to great crested newt surveys. • The approach and methodology to bat surveys. • The preliminary findings from Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey. • Opportunities for Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG). • The approach to filling data gaps.
10/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	Broadland District Council did not respond to section 42 consultation on the topic of Onshore Ecology and Ornithology. Appendix 4 of the Consultation Report [APP-033].
01/07/2021	ETG Meeting 3	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation of ecological survey results. • Discussion on the availability of bat survey data from other projects and the use of static bat detectors and bat boxes. • The requirements for Letter(s) of No Impediment (LoNI). • Opportunities for habitat improvements and BNG. • Cumulative Impact Assessment. • Approach to white clawed crayfish surveys. • Requirement of Fish surveys. • The approach to the inclusion of protected species within the water crossing method statement. • The approach to filling data gaps. • The approach to eDNA surveys. • Commitments to habitat monitoring and replanting
30/06/2022	ETG Meeting 4	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approach taken for the initial BNG assessment. • The approach taken for the initial BNG enhancement options.
Post-Application		
09/01/2023	Meeting	The focus of the meeting was to discuss the Broadland District Council's relevant representation and develop the draft Statement of Common Ground.

¹ BDC did not attend ETG Meetings 2, 3 and 4.

Table 7 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to onshore ecology and ornithology

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy and Planning			
1	All relevant plans and policies have been identified in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] and these have been appropriately considered in the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Baseline Environment			
2	The ES adequately characterises the baseline environment in terms of onshore ecology and ornithology as detailed in Section 20.5 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106].	BDC agree with this statement but advise that Natural England has emerging guidance in relation to Pink Footed Geese – 09/01/23.	In Discussion
3	<p>Survey methodologies for Phase 1 Habitat Surveys and Phase 2 surveys are appropriate and sufficient to inform the assessment. Onshore ecology surveys were undertaken in accordance with industry accepted guidance.</p> <p>Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 28/01/2020 that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hedgerows and trees surveys would be undertaken in accordance with the Hedgerow Regulations and associated methodology. • that static bat detectors are used rather than transect surveys. • eDNA surveys will be used for great crested newt surveys presence/absence. Some population assessments may be progressed depending on the findings. • wintering bird surveys are extended throughout October [pink-footed geese will be arriving, and their presence could influence timing of works]. 	BDC recommended the upcoming hedgerows and trees surveys should also be undertaken in accordance with the Hedgerow Regulations and associated methodology. Discussed and agreed in ETG Meeting 1, 28/01/2020.	Agreed
4	Survey data, as presented in ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] and its associated appendices, are suitable for the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement but note that Natural England has emerging guidance regarding Pink Footed Geese. – 09/01/23.	In Discussion

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
5	The suite of ecological surveys undertaken and presented in ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] and its associated appendices is relevant and suitable for the assessment.	Discussed and agreed in ETG Meeting 1, 28/01/2020.	Agreed
6	The use of existing data sets which cover the SEP DEP order limits, including NBIS, is appropriate to inform the desk-based assessment and to fill data gaps.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Assessment Methodology			
7	The study areas identified in Section 20.3 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] are appropriate for the assessment of impacts.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23.	Agreed
8	The impact assessment methodologies as detailed in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] are appropriate for the assessment of impacts.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23.	Agreed
9	The assessment of impacts presented in Section 20.6 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] are consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies.	BDC recommends engaging with NE regarding their emerging guidance surrounding Pink Footed Geese- 09/01/23.	In Discussion
10	The realistic worst-case assumptions presented in the assessment for the development scenarios, as outlined in Table 20-3 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] are appropriate for the assessment of impacts are appropriate for the assessment.	BDC recommends engaging with NE regarding their emerging guidance surrounding Pink Footed Geese- 09/01/23.	In Discussion
11	Section 20.6 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] represents a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.	BDC recommends engaging with NE regarding their emerging guidance surrounding Pink Footed Geese- 09/01/23.	In Discussion
12	The assessment of cumulative impacts, as detailed in Section 20.7 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] is consistent with the agreed methodologies.	BDC recommends engaging with NE regarding their emerging guidance surrounding Pink Footed Geese- 09/01/23.	In Discussion
EIA – Project-Alone Assessment Conclusions			
13	The conclusions of the impact assessment as details in Section 20.6 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] are appropriate and are considered not significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement but await further information regarding Pink Footed Geese and the emerging Natural England guidance – 09/01/23.	In Discussion

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) Conclusions			
14	All projects and plans will be identified and considered in the CIA that will be presented in the ES; only those projects/plans with publicly available information will be included.	BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23.	Agreed
15	The conclusions of the CIA, as detailed in Section 20.7 of ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] is appropriate and based on currently available information and proposed mitigation impacts are non-significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement but await further information regarding Pink Footed Geese and the emerging Natural England standard guidance – 09/01/23.	In Discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
16	Schedule 2, Part 1, Requirement 13 of the draft DCO [AS-009] is sufficient to secure that an Ecological Management Plan is submitted and approved by the relevant planning authority in consultation with Natural England prior to the commencement of onshore works including pre-commencement site clearance.	BDC agree with this statement subject to including information on how the Applicant will report monitoring information to the LPA – 09/01/23.	In Discussion
17	The Outline Ecological Management Plan [APP-304] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts of the Project.	<p>BDC recommends engaging with NE regarding their emerging guidance surrounding Pink Footed Geese – 09/01/23.</p> <p>BDC consider that the Ecological Monitoring Plan should include information on how the Applicant will report monitoring information to the LPA – 09/01/23.</p> <p>BDC recommend incorporating bird deterrence as necessary -09/01/23.</p>	In Discussion
18	The Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-302] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 20 Chapter 20 Onshore Ecology and Ornithology [APP-106] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from Projects on terrestrial ecology and ornithology.	SNDC recommends that the CoCP is updated to clarify who will be responsible for checking equipment, vehicles and facilities as part of 'Check Clean Dry'. - 09/01/23.	In Discussion

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
19	The Applicant is committed to replacement planting of hedgerow and hedgerow trees and has committed to 10-year monitoring and maintenance period as per the Outline Landscape Management Plan [APP-303] and Outline Ecological Management Plan [APP-304]. This is secured by Requirements 11 'Provision of Landscaping', 12 'Implementation and Maintenance of Landscaping' and 13 'Outline Ecological Plan' of the draft DCO [AS-009] within Schedule 2 Part 1. This aligns with the commitments of other similar projects.	BDC agree with this statement subject to provision of further information on how monitoring data will be provided to the LPA - 09/01/23.	In Discussion
Other Matters as Required			
20	The approach to Biodiversity Net Gain, as presented in the Outline Biodiversity Net Gain Strategy [APP-306], provides an appropriate approach to consideration of net gain within the Projects.	BDC agree with this statement. -09/01/23.	Agreed
21	The assessment methodologies used for the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment, as presented in the Initial Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [APP-219], provide an appropriate approach to assessing potential impacts of the Projects.	BDC agree with this statement. -09/01/23.	Agreed

2.4 Onshore archaeology and cultural heritage

Table 8: Summary of consultation with BDC regarding onshore archaeology and cultural heritage

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application		
03/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	BDC response to section 42 consultation on the topic of Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage [APP-033].
Post-Application		

Table 9: Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to onshore archaeology and cultural heritage

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
1	Archaeological matters are covered by NCC and therefore not repeated here	BDC agreed with this statement	Agreed
2	The Applicant notes the comments raised by BDC in its Relevant Representation with reference to Honingham Park and can confirm that Honingham Park is covered within the Non-designated Heritage Assets [APP-230a] and covered in Chapter 21 of the ES: Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage [APP-107] appropriately	BDC agreed with this statement	Agreed

2.5 Air quality

Table 10 Summary of Consultation with BDC Regarding air quality

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application		
10/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	BDC responded to section 42 consultation on the topic of air quality, with no concern at that stage of the application. Appendix 4 of the Consultation Report [APP-033].
Post-Application		
11/01/2023	Meeting	The focus of the meeting was to discuss the Broadland District Council's relevant representation and develop the draft Statement of Common Ground.

Table 11 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to air quality

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy and Planning			
1	All relevant plans and policies have been identified in ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] and these have been appropriately considered in the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23 .	Agreed
EIA – Baseline Environment			
2	The ES adequately characterises the baseline environment in terms of air quality as detailed in Section 22.5 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108].	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
3	Sufficient survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108].	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
4	Appropriate datasets have been presented to inform the assessments as detailed in ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108].	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Assessment Methodology			
5	The study areas identified in Section 22.3 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] is appropriate for the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
6	The impact assessment methodologies, as presented in Section 22.4 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108], are appropriate to assess the potential impacts of the Project.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
7	The assessment of impacts presented in Section 17.6 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] are consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
8	Section 22.6 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] represents a comprehensive list of potential impacts.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
9	The realistic worst-case assumptions presented in the assessment for the development scenarios, as outlined in Table 22.2 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] are appropriate.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
10	The assessment of cumulative impacts, as detailed in Section 22.7 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] is consistent with the agreed methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Project-Alone Assessment Conclusions			
11	The conclusions of the impact assessment, as presented in Section 22.6 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] during construction and operation are	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
	appropriate, and assuming the inclusion of proposed mitigation, are considered not significant in EIA terms		
EIA – Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) Conclusions			
12	The conclusions of the CIA as detailed in Section 22.7 of ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] is appropriate and based on currently available information and proposed mitigation impacts are non-significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
13	The Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-302] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 22 Air Quality [APP-108] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from the Project on Air Quality receptors.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
14	The Code of Construction Practice, which will include information on how air quality impacts will be managed and mitigated is secured under Schedule 2, Part 1, Requirement 19 of the draft DCO [AS-009] and is appropriate with regards to the protection of air quality receptors.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
Other Matters as Required			
15			

2.6 Noise and vibration

Table 12 Summary of consultation with BDC regarding noise and vibration

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application		
04/11/2020	ETG Meeting 1	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project approaches, methodology and risks
10/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	Broadland District Council responded to section 42 consultation on the topic of Noise and Vibration, with no concern at that stage of the application. Appendix 4 of the Consultation Report [APP-033].
24/02/2022	ETG Meeting 2	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project update and overview of the site selection process • Discussion of baseline noise surveys • An update on 3D noise modelling, consideration of requirements related to noise from Onshore Substation (OnSS) site and identification of noise parameters
Post-Application		
11/01/2023	Meeting	The focus of the meeting was to discuss the Broadland District Council's relevant representation and develop the draft Statement of Common Ground.

Table 13 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to noise and vibration

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy and Planning			
1	All relevant plans and policies have been identified in Section 23.4 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] and these have been appropriately considered in the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Baseline Environment			
2	The assessment, as presented in Section 23.5 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109], adequately characterises the baseline environment.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
3	The noise sensitive receptor locations that have been identified are appropriate and sufficient to inform the assessment of impacts.	Noise surveys should be carried out in accordance with BS4142	In Discussion
4	The amendments and/or additions of survey locations are appropriate and sufficient to inform the assessment of impacts.	Noise surveys should be carried out in accordance with BS4142	In Discussion
5	Appropriate consideration has been given to the potential effects of Covid-19 and the recent countrywide lockdown on the current soundscape around the proposed onshore infrastructure location.	Agreed at ETG Meeting 1, 04/11/2020 BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
6	Appropriate datasets have been presented to inform the assessments as detailed in ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109].	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Assessment Methodology			
7	The study area identified in Section 23.3 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] is appropriate for the assessment.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
8	The impact assessment methodologies, as presented in Section 23.4 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109], are appropriate to assess the potential impacts of the Project.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
9	The assessment of impacts presented in Section 23.6 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] are consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
10	Section 23.6 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] represents a comprehensive list of potential impacts.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
11	The realistic worst-case assumptions presented in the assessment for the development scenarios, as outlined in Table 23.2 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] are appropriate.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
12	The assessment of cumulative impacts, as detailed in Section 23.7 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] is consistent with the agreed methodologies.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Project-Along Assessment Conclusions			
13	The conclusions of the impact assessment, as presented in Section 23.6 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] during construction and operation are appropriate, and assuming the inclusion of proposed mitigation, are considered not significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement subject to completing a survey in accordance with BS4142.	In Discussion
EIA – Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) Conclusions			
14	The conclusions of the CIA as detailed in Section 23.7 of ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] is appropriate and based on currently available information and proposed mitigation impacts are non-significant in EIA terms.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
15	The Construction Noise Management Plan as part of the Outline Code of Construction Practice [APP-302] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-301] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 23 Noise and Vibration [APP-109] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from the Project on Noise and Vibration receptors. The Code of Construction Practice is secured under Requirement 19 (within Schedule 2, Part 1) of the draft DCO.	BDC agree with this statement - 11/01/23.	Agreed
Other Matters as Required			
16			

2.7 Landscape, trees and visual

Table 14 Summary of consultation with BDC regarding landscape and visual

Date	Contact Type	Topic
Pre-Application⁴		
23/03/2020	ETG Meeting 1	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approach to visualisations. • The approach to data sources. • An agreement on the landscape character areas to be included in assessment. • The approach to visual receptors. • The key landscape designations and features to be included in the assessment. • Agreement to the list of potential impacts • The approach to the assessment of visual amenity. • The approach to the assessment of effects on the AONB.
02/06/2021	Pre-Section 42 consultation	Consultation (via email) on the proposed approach of the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment's (LVIA) study areas; representative viewpoints; and approach to visualisations at both the PEIR and Environmental Statement (ES) stages.
10/06/2021	Section 42 Consultation	Broadland District Council (BDC) response to section 42 consultation on PEIR. Appendix 4 of the Consultation Report [APP-033].
28/07/2021	ETG Meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2)	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The LVIA as presented in PEIR and S42 consultation. • The requirements for an Outline Landscape Management Plan (OLMP) and Outline Ecological Management Plan (OEMP). • The commitment to a 10-year replanting period.
08/02/2022	ETG Meeting 3 (Part 2 of 2)	The following topics were discussed during the ETG Meeting 4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OLEMP. • Assessment of the Norfolk AONB. • LVIA. • OLEMP and Arboricultural surveys. • Project Vision and design and access statement.
Post-Application		
09/01/2023	Meeting	The focus of the meeting was to discuss the Broadland District Council's relevant representation and develop the draft Statement of Common Ground.

⁴ BDC did not attend ETG Meeting 1; Meeting 2 Part 1; and Meeting 3 Part 1, which focussed on the SVIA and related offshore matters.

Table 15 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed in relation to landscape and visual impact

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy and Planning			
1	All relevant plans and policies have been identified in Section 26.4 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] and these have been appropriately considered in the assessment.	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021. BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Baseline Environment			
2	The ES adequately characterises the baseline environment in terms of landscape and visual as detailed in Section 26.4 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112].	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021. BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23.	Agreed
3	Appropriate datasets have been presented to inform the assessments as detailed in ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112].	<p>The following list of data sources was identified for assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Landscape Character Area Profiles, 'North Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment' DRAFT Supplementary Planning Document 2018; • 'North Norfolk Landscape Sensitivity Assessment' DRAFT Supplementary Planning Document 2018; • 'Broadland District Landscape Character Assessment' 2008 (updated 2013); • 'South Norfolk District Landscape Character Assessment' 2001 (updated 2006 and 2008); • 'South Norfolk District Landscape Designations Review' 2012; • 'Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty 2019-24 Management Plan', Norfolk Coast Partnership; and 	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Norfolk Coast AONB Integrated Landscape Character Guidance', Norfolk Coast Partnership. <p>Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021.</p> <p>Subsequent to the above, ETG meeting 3 (Part 2 of 2), 08/02/2022 agreed that Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Management Plan Strategy 2014-2019 should be used to inform the LVIA, due to the uncertainty of the ratification of the latest Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Five Year Strategy 2019-2024.</p> <p>BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23.</p>	
4	<p>The following list of visual receptors is appropriate for assessing visual effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlements, • Public Rights of Way, • Beach / coastal margin and other accessible landscapes, • Key routes road and rail, • Key routes recreational (long distance walking routes, cycle routes), • Specific viewpoints. 	<p>Discussed and agreed in ETG Meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2, 28/07/2021.</p> <p>BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed
5	<p>The following landscape designations and areas or features protected by policy will be considered in regard to onshore landscape and visual impact assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Norfolk Coast AONB; • Rural River Valleys and Valley Urban Fringe landscape character types (South Norfolk Local Plan DMPD Policy DM 4.5); 	<p>Discussed and agreed in ETG Meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2, 21/07/2021.</p> <p>BDC agree with this statement. - 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Norwich Southern Bypass Landscape Protection Zone (NSBLPZ), Key Viewing Cones and Undeveloped Approaches to Norwich (South Norfolk Local Plan DMPD Policy DM 4.6). 		
6	<p>Sufficient survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112].</p>	<p>BDC were consulted in September 2020 (at the outset of the assessment) with regard to the proposed representative viewpoints, study areas and visualisations (wireframes and photomontages) for the PEIR and ES Stages.</p> <p>BDC acknowledged that no formal comments on representative viewpoint locations for the substation prior to the PEIR submission were returned following Equinor's request on 8 September 2020 and 24 November 2020.</p> <p>BDC agreed night-time photomontages of the substation would not be required; although SNDC/BDC noted the expectation of the potential effects of lighting to be considered in the LVIA at ES.</p> <p>It was agreed that the viewpoint information presented at the PEIR (and subsequently taken forward to ES would cover what is necessary to produce a robust assessment for this DCO application. No further photography has been undertaken since winter 2021.</p> <p>The LVIA has accounted for the potential effects of lighting at night.</p> <p>See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details.</p>	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Assessment Methodology			
7	The study areas identified in Section 26.3 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] is appropriate for the assessment.	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting, 2 21/07/2021, and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details. BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23	Agreed
8	Visuals have been produced from agreed representative viewpoints, in accordance with Landscape Institute Technical Guidance Note 06/19 Visual Representation of Development Proposals, September 2019, Visual Representation of Wind Farms Version 2.2, Scottish Natural Heritage, February 2017.	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021, and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details. BDC agree with this statement– 09/01/23	Agreed
9	Illustrative photomontages showing potential scheme during operation have been produced.	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021, and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details. BDC agree with this statement – 09/01/23	Agreed
10	It is appropriate that night-time photomontages of the substation are not provided however, assessment of the effects of lighting have been included in the LVIA at Environmental Statement (ES).	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021, and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. BDC agreed night-time photomontages of the substation would not be required; although SNDC/BDC noted the expectation of the potential effects of lighting to be considered in the LVIA at ES.	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
		<p>See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details.</p> <p>BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	
11	<p>The impact assessment methodologies, as presented in Section 26.4 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112], are appropriate to assess the potential onshore impacts of the project.</p>	<p>Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021. BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed
12	<p>The assessment of impacts presented in Section 26.6 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112] are consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies, as detailed.</p>	<p>Discussed and agreed to in ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021 and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112]. BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed
13	<p>Section 26.6 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] represents a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.</p>	<p>ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020 agreed with the following list of potential impacts with regards to onshore cable corridor including landfall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary effects during construction, • No significant effects during decommissioning, • Effects due to removal and reinstatement of hedgerows and trees, • Effects during the first few years of operation as re-instated vegetation matures, (Noting that Planning Inspectorate for England and Wales (PINS) scoping opinion states that visual effects from the onshore cable route (including the landfall) during operation are unlikely to be significant and can be scoped out of the assessment, but that landscape effects should be assessed (while planting matures)). 	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
		<p>ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021 discussed and agreed to the list of potential impacts assessed with regards to the onshore cable corridor (including landfall) and onshore substation, which included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the landscape character areas / types identified and assessed; • the visual receptors identified and assessed; and • the designated landscapes identified and assessed. <p>BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	
14	<p>The approach to the assessment of effects on residential visual amenity is appropriate as stated in the following summary: Will be assessed for onshore substation only as necessary. Assessment undertaken to identify whether the substation would be sufficiently “oppressive” or “overbearing” that the residential property would be rendered an unattractive place in which to live (consistent with Landscape Institute Technical Guidance Note 2/19, Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (RVAA) 15 March 2019). (Landscape Institute 2019).</p>	<p>Discussed and agreed in ETG Meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021. BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed
15	<p>The realistic worst-case assumptions presented in the assessment for the development scenarios, as outlined in Table 26-2 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] are appropriate. Wireframes for impact assessment will present the ‘worst case’ in accordance with the Rochdale Envelope approach. e.g. they will show the maximum outline development envelope.</p>	<p>Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 1, 23/03/2020, reaffirmed at ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021, and as part of the pre-Section 42 consultation. See Table 26-1 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] for details. BDC agree with this statement.</p>	Agreed
16	<p>The assessment of cumulative impacts, as detailed in Section 26.7 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112] is consistent with the agreed methodologies.</p>	<p>BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23</p>	Agreed

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
EIA – Project-Alone Assessment Conclusions			
17	<p>The conclusions of the impact assessment, which are presented in Section 26.6 of the ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112], are appropriate in identifying and assessing the significance of (in EIA terms) and effects of change resulting from the construction, operation and decommissioning of SEP and/or DEP on landscape and visual receptors.</p> <p>In accordance with the impact assessment’s methodology (see Section 26.4 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112]), effects which have been assessed to be ‘major-moderate’ or ‘major’ are considered significant in EIA terms. Significant effects (in EIA terms) have been assessed during construction, operation and decommissioning of the onshore substation on users of a group of PRoWs, a permissive bridleway and Gowthorpe Lane which encircle the fields the site lies within and adjacent to.</p> <p>The conclusions of the impact assessment on the landscape and visual receptors identified within the study areas on the onshore cable corridor and substation are appropriate, and assuming the inclusion of embedded mitigation measures, would not be considered significant in EIA terms.</p>	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.	Agreed
EIA – Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) Conclusions			
18	<p>The conclusions of the CIA as detailed in Section 26.7 of ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] is appropriate and based on currently available information and proposed mitigation impacts are non-significant in EIA terms.</p>	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.	Agreed
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
19	<p>Schedule 2, Part 1, Requirements 11 ‘Provision of Landscaping’ & 12 ‘Implementation and Maintenance of Landscaping’ of the draft DCO [APP-024] are appropriate with regards to the provision, implementation and maintenance of landscaping.</p>	BDC considers that the tree/hedge details for the whole corridor should be provided and this should also include veteran trees which maybe outside the corridor but could still be implicated.	In Discussion

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
20	<p>The Outline Landscape Management Plan [APP-303] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-112] and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from the Project on landscape and visual receptors. Requirement 11 of the DCO [AS-009], mentioned above under 20, states that the Written Landscape Management Plan will accord with the Outline Landscape Management Plan submitted in support of the DCO application.</p>	<p>ETG meeting 2 (Part 2 of 2), 28/07/2021 discussed and agreed that an outline Landscape Management Plan (OLMP) would be submitted as part of the DCO application. The landscape proposals would aim to minimise potential visual effects as far as possible and create new opportunities for ecological enhancements.</p> <p>ETG meeting 3 (Part 2 of 2), 08/02/2022 confirmed that the landscape proposals, related to the landscape management of the onshore cable corridor and the onshore substation, were broadly acceptable and responded well to the local landscape and its existing framework.</p> <p>The Applicant confirmed its commitment to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining planting along the onshore cable corridor for the first 10 years following implementation, before being handed over to landowner. • Planting and habitat creation around the onshore substation would be managed for the operational life of SEP and DEP. <p>BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.</p>	Agreed
21	<p>A 10-year replacement period for trees, hedgerows, and other vegetation was discussed and agreed during ETG meeting 2 (part 1 of 2), 21/07/2021.</p> <p>During this meeting SNBDC were not in attendance.</p>	<p>The Applicant confirmed at ETG meeting 3 (Part 2 of 2), 08/02/2022 its commitment to maintaining planting along the onshore cable corridor for the first 10 years following implementation, before being handed over to landowner.</p>	Agreed
22	<p>The Outline Ecological Management Plan [APP-304] includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in ES Chapter 26 Landscape and Visual [APP-112] and is appropriate for managing</p>	<p>BDC agree with this statement subject to including information on how the Applicant will report monitoring information to the LPA – 09/01/23.</p>	In Discussion

ID	The Applicant Position	BDC Position	Position Summary
	post construction impacts from Projects on landscape receptors. This will be secured under Requirement 13 of the draft.		
23	The approach to Biodiversity Net Gain, as presented in the Outline Biodiversity Net Gain Strategy [APP-306], provides an appropriate approach to consideration of net gain within the Projects.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.	Agreed
24	The assessment methodologies used for the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment, as presented in the Initial Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [APP-219], provide an appropriate approach to assessing potential impacts of the Projects.	BDC agree with this statement. – 09/01/23.	Agreed
Other Matter as Required			
25	The Onshore Design and Access Statement [APP-287] is appropriate for setting out overarching design principles /design objectives in relation to the onshore cable corridor trees and hedges and will deliver a project that is in accordance with good practice (including safety) and demonstrates Good Design.	Discussed and agreed in ETG meeting 3 (Part 2 of 2), 08/02/2022 that proposed approach to how the Applicant will demonstrate, in the DCO application, how the project has been guided by overarching design principles / objectives and will deliver a project that is in accordance with good practice (including safety) and demonstrates Good Design.	Agreed

3 Signatures

15. The above draft Statement of Common Ground is agreed between the Applicant and BDC on the day specified below.

Signed: _____

Print Name: _____

Job Title: _____

Date: _____

Duly authorised for and on behalf of the **BDC**

Signed: _____

Print Name: _____

Job Title: _____

Date: _____

Duly authorised for and on behalf of **Equinor New Energy Limited**

References

Department for Communities and Local Government (2015) Planning Act 2008: Guidance for the examination of applications for development consent. [Online] Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/418015/examinations_guidance_-_final_for_publication.pdf Accessed 05/07/2022.